How dead are virus anyway?

All claims of Virus Existence Refuted

Interview

Dr Stefan Lanka, molecular and marine biologist

The measles virus trial that was won

Die Wurzel magazine: Dear Stefan, you are a molecular biologist, marine biologist and scientist and you won the measles virus trial with the scientific proof that there is no (measles) virus at all. When was that?

Stefan Lanka: I initiated the measles virus trial at the end of 2011 to prevent the introduction of compulsory measles vaccination. The trial started in 2012 and ultimately lasted until early 2017.

The losing plaintiff allowed the deadline pass to appeal to the Federal Constitutional Court against the decision of the Federal Supreme Court (BGH) of December 1, 2016. With this decision, the Federal Supreme Court dismissed the plaintiff's appeal against the judgment of the Stuttgart Higher Regional Court (OLG) of February 16, 2016.

To the detriment of the population...

Stefan Lanka: The Stuttgart Higher Regional Court did not take into account the scientific evidence presented to the OLG from expert opinions and from experiments which refuted all claims to the existence of the measles virus, to the detriment of the population. Nor did the OLG dismiss the complaint on formal grounds, as the plaintiff still claims today. In its reasoning for the judgement, the OLG relied on the fact that the court-appointed expert testified that none of the



six publications submitted contained proof of the existence of a virus.

Foundation of virology destroyed by the court

Stefan Lanka: Particularly explosive is the fact that one of the six publications presented represents the sole basis of both measles and the entire field of virology. The decision confirmed by the BGH of the OLG Stuttgart of 16th February 2016, deprives the entire virology, including the corona hype, of its foundation, because all virologists refer to this single publication, which German jurisdiction states does not contain any evidence of a virus. Now it is time to put this jurisprudence on viruses into practice.

In order to protect the court-appointed expert from criminal prosecution for two false statements in his expert opinions, the Stuttgart Higher Regional Court suppressed the expert's recorded statement on the six publications submitted.

Expert witness found guilty by the court in case of false testimony

Stefan Lanka: The expert admitted his central false statement when he was pressured by the clear questions of a young judge: Contrary to his written statements he admitted that the six publications submitted by the plaintiff do not contain any control tests to prove whether the method employed worked, whether the result was falsified, or whether the desired and achieved result was produced by the method itself! Thus, the six

publications presented in the trial are worthless paper that cannot and must not be called scientific. This is because the rules of scientific work prescribe the execution and exact documentation of control experiments.

The trial and the protocol of the first instance as well as the judgement of the OLG Stuttgart have twice destroyed the basis for the claims of the entire field of virology. In this regard, I refer to my article "The Federal Court of Justice has caused the belief in viruses to decline" from the magazine WissenschafftPlus No. 2/2017, which can also be viewed online at wissenschafftplus.de.

Errors of reasoning of the renowned virologists

Die Wurzel: Everywhere in the media, especially since Corona, one hears from the most renowned virologists, such as Dr. Drosten from the Charité or Prof. Streeck from Heinsberg, how they hold an allegedly living virus responsible for all kinds of diseases.
Why do virologists believe in viruses although there are no viruses in living tissue and cells?

Stefan Lanka: These and other virologists do not claim that viruses are alive, but that the biochemically dead viral genome (i.e. the virus) is capable of infection. Like all virologists, they argue that a virus has no metabolism of its own and is biochemically dead. At best, they say that gene snippets of the viruses[1] are found everywhere (not only on doorknobs), which are not capable of infection. In their eyes, only the entire viral genome strand (the whole virus) is capable of infection, but a part of the virus (i.e. a gene snippet) is not. At the same time, they conceal their greatest professional secret that they have never found a complete viral genome strand (i.e. complete virus).

Virologists confirm: Viruses are biochemically dead!

Stefan Lanka: Not only the above-mentioned virologists, but all virologists define that a virus has no metabolism of its own and is biochemically dead.

However, how something dead can develop the power to enter the organism through the dermis, corium and fascia of the organs, the lining of the vessels and against the flow of mucus of the mucous membranes, in order to pass through the tough connective tissue mass (which surrounds all cells) is no longer an open question, but a refuted myth that has evolved throughout our history.

Virology had already disproved itself in 1951

Stefan Lanka: What the virologists have overlooked is that the theory[2] on which all biology and medicine is based, and from which the equally erroneous theories of infection, the immune system, genetics and cancer have inevitably developed, is not only not correct, but has been refuted.

They also overlooked the fact that medical virology disproved itself in 1951. And they overlooked the fact that from 1952 onwards a new idea of virology developed, the gene-strand virus idea, which however is based on a misinterpretation concerning bacteria and cannot be transferred to humans, animals or plants. See my article "The Virus Misconception" in the magazine WissenschafftPlus 1/2020 (cover see page 21).

Die Wurzel: And until when did you also believe in the widespread virus hypothesis?

Misinterpreted viruses are meaningful mini sperm

[1] Snippets of the viruses = virus fragments

[2] The theory of cellular pathology from 1858

Stefan Lanka: I believed in this idea until I myself isolated and biochemically characterised a structure from the sea, which I misinterpreted at the time as a HARMLESS virus. Later, I learned that the term "virus" must not be used under any circumstances, because it means something like a disease-causing poison (within the refuted cell theory) which the organism itself would produce.

Today, curious researchers know that biological life is created from those structures[3] that are created by self-organisation. In bacteria, these structures are called phage[4], but in the laboratory they only develop when bacteria are separated and thus impair their biochemistry. After a certain point in time (in a target-oriented process of metamorphosis), the entire bacterial biomass is transformed into many small structures of exactly the same structure.

These small structures have the function (like mini sperm) of making their nucleic acid[5] available to those organisms that need it. These targeted and useful processes were interpreted negatively and as disease toxins (lat. virus) by the good-evil glasses of cellular pathology.

These small structures are very easy to isolate, photograph and to examine biochemically. Since 1952, clueless young virologists (who did not know that and why the old virology had given up) BELIEVED that the viruses claimed in humans and animals looked and were constructed like the "phages" (mini sperm of bacteria).

Dying tissue does not transform into viruses

Stefan Lanka: In 1954, a technique was proposed (one of six publications in the Measles Virus Process) to detect the suspected human viruses exactly as the phages of the bacteria were detected. They believed that when tissues died, they would turn into viruses - just as bacteria turn into phages. With the decisive difference that the phages were isolated and photographed in large numbers and in pure form each time, and their genetic strand, which is always the same length and always has the same composition, was and is represented as a whole. But this is not possible with the only suspected viruses until today.

The "wirrologists" (confusion-ologists) only mentally assemble a model of a virus from short fragments of decayed tissues and cells, which in reality does not exist (more detailed consideration follows below).

Virologists refuse control experiments

Stefan Lanka: Because this type of virologists still disregard the fundamental rules of scientific work and refuse to carry out control experiments, they have not noticed that the tissues and cells in the test tube die not because of an assumed virus, but because of unintentional starvation and poisoning in the procedure that precedes any apparent "infection".

Therefore, genetic virus tests only detect the body's own sequences. Since the test only shows "positive" if there are sufficient gene fragments in the sample quantity to be tested, this explains why there are also negative test results. It is obvious that the body releases more tissue material and thus gene fragments, during inflammatory processes, than when the body is healthy or when the body does not release anything at all during certain other moments of healing. Additionally, you only have to increase the

[3] One of which I discovered, isolated and characterised and predicted by Günter Enderlein.
[4] Bacteriophages
[5] DNA (DNA) = The central biomolecule of the chromosomes, on which are informational templates for about 10% of our proteins, formerly known as genes.

sample amount[6] and every human being, every animal and probably even every plant will test positive. In my article "The Virus Misconception. Part II" (see WiPlus 2/2020) I call for honest scientists, bioinformaticians and laboratory technicians to finally carry out and publish these control experiments, which have never been carried out or published. The evidence for this was presented to the OLG Stuttgart in the measles virus trial, but was ignored.

Many scientists work unscientifically!

Die Wurzel: What does honest scientific work mean to you besides conducting control experiments, which is missing in many scientists who hold a PhD and work for industry?

Stefan Lanka: The most important thing is to constantly check your own assumptions and those of others. But most of them don't do that, because otherwise they would give themselves notice. The mechanism that is at work here was recognised and published by the exceptional genius Eugen Rosenstock-Huessy as early as 1956. I refer to it and quote it constantly, among other things in the article No. 1 to 3/2019 in the magazine WissenschafftPlus, which so inspired you, Michael, and about which you wrote in your article Die Wurzel 04/2019.

Die Wurzel: You read more and more that scientists financed by industry produce scientific work and statistics to meet the expectations of their clients. The results are distorted statistics about measles/corona/flu virus-infected/dead etc. on a continuous basis. Why are there no independent control bodies to monitor the results of the studies and working methods?

Stefan Lanka: I cannot answer this question here due to lack of space.

Citizen control over science and politics

Stefan Lanka: Just this much: from the democratic point of view, politics and science can only function if the client, i.e. every citizen, knows what is going on, that is to say, controls the processes and the people in charge. We are a long way from this idea and at the moment I think that this can only be realised through a network of self-organising groups.

The only ones that have successfully organised themselves so far are, firstly, groups that obviously violate their given goals and do not achieve them. And secondly, the profit optimisers organised in companies and associations, who consistently approach and achieve their (public and non-public) specified goals. In a positive sense, this has apparently been recognised by the Geox company: It creates a new company each time the old company reached the number of 500 employees. This is obviously the number of people for whom an overview, trust in each other and, based on this, symbiotic work is still possible.

Applied to State structures, the Prince of Liechtenstein recognised this and formulated it in his book "The State in the Third Millennium". He calls for self-administration of all areas of the State (where possible) in small structures, because the superordinate structures always lose the overview and their administration is inefficient and always too expensive.

Die Wurzel: In your opinion, there are certain events in scientific history which have led to certain "scientific" speculations being elevated to scientific facts, including the alleged proof of the existence of viruses, although nothing has been proven.

Stefan Lanka: For me, it was and is always important to recognise the major lines of

[6] No matter what kind, whether swabs, blood, mucus, semen, biopsy material, etc.

development and mechanisms, how and why things developed. I think that I have succeeded in doing so with regard to our "western" medicine. See my article "The Virus Misconception" in the magazine WissenschafftPlus 1/2020, and I refer in this context to an article on Eugen-Rosenstock-Huessy by Siegfried Mohr in WissenschafftPlus 2/2020 entitled "The Science of Time", in which the importance of recognising historical connections is clearly worked out.

Die Wurzel: All virologists and the scientific community agree that a virus was isolated, even if this is not the case as we have seen above. Can you go into this in more detail?

Virologists kill tissue unwittingly in the laboratory

Stefan Lanka: Virologists do not use the word "isolation" in the sense of the word isolation and become suspiciously nervous when asked about it. They understand "isolation" to mean the creation of an effect in the laboratory, which they interpret at the same time as

(a) infection

(b) evidence of the presence of a virus

(c) proof of its propagation

(d) Interpret evidence of the destructive power of the assumed virus.

In reality they kill tissues and cells in the laboratory, unwittingly and without realising it by starvation and poisoning, see my article "The Virus Misconception" in the magazine WissenschafftPlus 1/2020.

Die Wurzel: Virologists believe that dying tissues and cells are completely transformed into viruses. Therefore, they also refer to tissue and cell death as the reproduction of viruses. Do I understand correctly, that every dying off of tissue and cell material inevitably produces alleged viruses, in reality cell waste - this being the nature of the organism's building and decomposition processes?

Viruses cannot multiply

Stefan Lanka: In order to explain this, I must first talk about bacteria and phages. The metamorphosis, the transformation of bacteria into phages, cannot be described as cell death, as it is a very targeted and helpful process. This observation of the transformation of bacteria into phages was made in 1954 on human and animal tissue: it was believed that tissues turn into viruses when they die during an infection experiment. All other scientists, cell biologists and others do not believe that tissues or cells that die would turn into viruses in the process. On the contrary, they examine the dying off of tissue in order to understand how it happens in the body. They then refer to the same processes that the virologists misinterpret as virus formation, as coordinated cell death (= apoptosis) or as autophagy (recycling).

In humans and animals, the constant build-up and breakdown takes place silently and quietly, as tissues and cells are broken down into small components on site and then reprocessed for further use in the organs[7] intended for this purpose, such as the liver.

The term ‘virus’ must not be used any longer. It is misleading, subject to misinterpretation and originates from the refuted good-evil thinking of people who cannot or do not want to interpret the complexity in any other way.

Die Wurzel: The virus, no matter what kind, is said to have an independence and activity of its own that does not exist.

Viral genetic strands are a mental construct

[7] Thus, if organs are present in which functions are concentrated that would otherwise occur in tissues or cells of "simple" organisms, all at the same time and next to each other, there are completely different mechanisms of recycling within the body or within organs.

Stefan Lanka: The whole concept is erroneous and cannot be sustained by alternative hypotheses either. Because "virologists" have believed since 1954 that dying tissue is transformed into viral material in so-called "infection experiments", they also interpret the short pieces of nucleic acid as components of their presumed viruses. From these nucleic acid fragments[8] they mentally construct the viral genome strands which do not exist in reality. However, since sequence analysts, when analysing human chromosomes, find these supposedly viral sequences within the sequences of the chromosomes, they claim that more than 50% of our chromosomes consist of virus genes.

Exaggerated and invented killing ability of "viruses"

Die Wurzel: According to what you have said so far, it is becoming increasingly clear why the pharmaceutical industry, doctors, virologists, politicians and authorities are attributing to hypothetical viruses a dangerous killing ability that does not even exist, right?

Stefan Lanka: Yes, and on the one hand they do so because they think they have to make themselves important and to justify their actions. And because medicine has become a huge economic enterprise which is forced to make a profit and is forced to exaggerate constantly.
Ivan Illich pointed out this development and danger of the compulsion to exaggerate, which will ultimately kill everyone and everything, in 1976 in his book "Medical Nemesis." I had a very enlightening encounter with him in 1995, which I reported on in our first book on the subject of vaccination and in the magazine WissenschafftPlus. The author Seamus O'Mahony, who wrote the important book "Can Medicine be Cured?" in 2019, refers centrally to Ivan Illich and comes to the conclusion that medicine cannot heal itself of it's own accord and is becoming increasingly destructive. His starting point is the medicine of substances with which symptoms are suppressed.
About the other "medicine[9]" he says that it never had a chance to be used.

Die Wurzel: How do you think it is possible to make the new scientific understanding of viruses and bacteria (the latter are independent) accessible to the general public, but at a speed that it won't take 100 years?

Corona as a chance, as a catalyst for change

Stefan Lanka: The answer is to do the right thing at the right time, see the article on Rosenstock-Huessy in the current issue of WissenschafftPlus 2/2020 and my article "Misinterpretation of the virus" part II. This article is also freely available on our website wissenschafftplus.de. This contribution has the power (together with the magnitude of the Corona Crisis, which has shaken and endangers almost the whole of mankind) to bring about a rapid learning process, which will not take another 100 years. Seen in this light, Corona is an opportunity for all people and for all areas, not only in the fields of viruses, medicine, politics and economics.

Virus theory for profit reasons

Die Wurzel: I believe that the pharmaceutical industry wants to maintain the virus theory in the way it has been believed since time immemorial, otherwise it would lose billions in sales (drug/vaccine sales losses). In order to convey a new understanding of viruses, the first step would be to print and apply new biology textbooks and new course contents

[8] Gene snippets of DNA

[9] I prefer to call it another view or simply biology, which I am committed to spreading, in which health results from harmony within myself and with my environment.

for medical/biology/pharmacy students with the new "virus" knowledge.

I think that only the majority of people will understand through self-awareness that viruses in the conventional sense do not exist. Viruses, bacteria or parasites are not to blame for the diseases, because the disease itself is the self-healing effort of the immune system or the house cleaning activity of an organism that has accumulated mucus over decades from which it could not free itself due to lack of fasting, vital food, exercise, sunshine etc. Are you of a similar opinion?

Stefan Lanka: Before Corona I also thought that the turnaround can only come slowly and from below. Now the momentum of Corona has forced me to study again all decisive details and to go public with it.
The result is the article "The Virus Misconception Part II" (see WissenschafftPlus 2/2020), which, together with the actions that build on it and follow, actually has the power to generate a faster leap in knowledge than I thought.

Between panic and deeper understanding

Stefan Lanka: After all, humanity is now only faced with the alternative of "self-destruction through fear and insanity" or "deeper understanding, taking into account the other levels and insights of causes and interactions that have been suppressed by primitive materialism" and acting accordingly. I think the majority of people feel that there is something fundamentally wrong with Corona, medicine and politics. Therefore, I ask the readers to check in the future such terminology as "immune system" and so on, so that the old good-evil thinking is not carried into the future: I see the importance of nutrition, especially fasting - but within a well-founded, individual cognitive system of psychosomatics, for which Dr. Hamer laid the essential foundation. We have to take care not to establish a new dogmatism again, because many "spiritual" as well as "material" aspects still have to be worked into this developing system of knowledge, see your contribution in Die Wurzel 04/19.

The most important thing I learned from a doctor[10] is that we must always remember that our ideas may be outdated again tomorrow. That's why I always say in my lectures and seminars that the better answer to a question will always come in the future and the one that you have asked is only the second best. As humans we are participants in life and consequently, we simply lack the overview. Therefore, modesty is as much a part of science as the constant questioning.

Viruses contained in vaccines

Die Wurzel: Stefan, let's get back to the virus theory, which is the basis of vaccination. The vaccines used for measles, for example, are called "live" vaccines, although they are anything but living viruses.
How are "live" vaccines constructed and why should they simulate viruses when there are no viruses with independent infectious activity?

"Living" and "dead" vaccines

Stefan Lanka: Now I understand how you come up with the term "living" viruses. When tissues die in the laboratory during an "infection attempt" in the course of unintentional starvation and poisoning, the people involved believe that these tissues have been transformed into viruses or release viruses. Since the vaccine manufacturers (and their virologists) assume that the mass of dead tissue (i.e., their alleged viruses), which they use as a vaccine, is capable of infection, they speak of a "live vaccine". They believe

[10] In addition to her therapeutic work she has a teaching position for Chinese Medicine.

that the vaccination virus is still infectious but is attenuated.

In contrast, that what is called "inactivated vaccines" is made from the components of alleged viruses; that is, they are not infectious or the decomposing tissue is protected from further decomposition by "preservatives" such as formaldehyde during an infection attempt, in order to use it afterwards as a "inactivated vaccine", as is the case with polio, for example.

So: the idea of pathogenic viruses is dead and so is that of vaccination, so the question of "dead" or "alive" is not only misleading, but it is as wrong as the whole concept.

Die Wurzel: And secondly, we know that such a "living" vaccine virus cannot occur in nature, so it is not comparable to a wild type of pathogen, right?

Domestication of wild type viruses

Stefan Lanka: The idea of a wild type of virus is not that of a particularly wild virus, but that the virus has just been spat out fresh from the hell of nature and must first be domesticated by "cultivation" in the laboratory in order to be made accessible for vaccination - science fiction, that is. Here we should also mention the particularly funny but profound article by Jochen Schamal "Kleine Vampirkunde" in the current issue of WissenschafftPlus 2/2020.

Trivialisation of deadly vaccines

Die Wurzel: It's obvious that the composition of the vaccines is cruel. But many people do not know that such a vaccination means certain death in children if it is accidentally injected into a vein instead of the muscle.
Why then do government authorities describe vaccines as safe and harmless when those authorities themselves have allegedly "tested" and approved the vaccines?

Stefan Lanka: The trick used by those involved is very simple: they define the many toxic substances as auxiliary substances (= adjuvants) of the actual medical substance - the supposed proteins of the alleged viruses. It is only because of this untenable act of definition that these potent poisons are not subject to the strict drug law.

Vaccines without adjuvants ineffective

Stefan Lanka: How unfounded the claim of protection is, can be seen from the textbook statement that vaccines without their auxiliary substances are without effect.

Die Wurzel: A real and complete virus does not appear in the entire "scientific" literature. How would a real, complete virus have to look in your eyes to pass for you as a killer virus? Which characteristics would it have to have? Perhaps something like Borrelia, which consciously seeks a host?

Stefan Lanka: For those who have taken note of biology, it is unthinkable to think of a virus and even less of a killer virus. The virologists have the phages (of the bacteria) as models for their suspected viruses, but these are mini spermatozoa with their own energy supply that actively do something.
The virologists' idea of a disease-generating enveloped or unenveloped genetic strand is based on a crazy and dangerous model of biology and life. This idea was postulated in 1858 by Rudolf Virchow[11] in an act of desperation, among other things to reduce a huge mountain of debt and to please his patrons and mentors.
It is very important to me to add that also all claims of the existence of disease-causing bacteria are wrong and dangerous. An acquaintance of mine, without telling me about it, had been diagnosed with Borrelia bacteria by a guru of the alternative scene,

[11] Contribution "Virchow - A strategist of power" see WiPlus issue no. 5/2015, report can be read online, see wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/Wissenschafftplus_Mohr_Virchow.pdf

and as a result he has been brought to the edge of viability with fear and broad-spectrum antibiotics. Before the idea of viruses was invented, bacteria were the universal villains.

Corona virus consensus a few mouse clicks

Die Wurzel: The process of finding a consensus on what belongs to the measles virus and what does not took decades. How is it possible that with the allegedly new corona virus Sars-CoV-2, this consensus-building process only took a few mouse clicks? And on what scientific basis?

Stefan Lanka: It is Prof. Christian Drosten from the Charité, who revolutionised bioinformatics in virology and completely replaced laboratory work.

In 2003, during the SARS panic, which he was instrumental in creating, he was able to offer a test for this fiction just two days after the claim that the genetic strand of the allegedly new SARS virus had been reconstructed. He was substantially involved in the swine flu and ZIKA[12] terror and became faster and faster even than his shadow...

...Drosten faster than Chinese CDC

Stefan Lanka: Even before the virologists of the Chinese Epidemic Control Agency agreed on the composition of the genetic strand of the virus, which is still only "suspected" today, Christian Drosten had already developed his test and made it available to the WHO on 17 January 2020. With his action, a few mouse clicks, he has globalised the dangerous but local mass panic caused by an eye doctor in Wuhan. See my article "The Virus Misconception Part II: The beginning and end of the Corona Crisis" in the magazine WissenschafftPlus 2/2020, which can also be freely distributed from our website wissenschafftplus.de

Bioinformaticians do not care about the gene sequence source

Stefan Lanka: When they were constructing the idea of the genetic strands of the flu virus, chicken embryos were mechanically injured and poisoned and a model was painstakingly created by hand from the short nucleic acid sequences of the dying tissue. Nowadays, this is done by computer programs into which the sequences are entered that the virologists then pass off as viral. The bioinformaticians, who create the genomes of the fictitious viruses through "alignment", do not care where these sequences come from. Erwin Chargaff warned against this development as early as 1976 in a book entitled "The Fire of Heraclitus".

Die Wurzel: Now the mental construction of the "viral genome strand" comes into play during the "development" of the corona virus.

Stefan Lanka: When the current corona virus (SARS-CoV-2) was constructed, at first only so-called gene sequences from pulmonary irrigation were used. After the sequence data of very short pieces were laboriously forced through several programs, a complete genetic strand of a new virus was proposed based on these "sequence data". This proposal was thereby confirmed and elevated to a general model after this laborious construction was repeated with the "gene sequences" from the lungs of three other people.

Unsubstantiated virus model with artificial gene sequences

Stefan Lanka: The actual proof of the existence of a new virus is defined when the 'virus' can be 'cultivated'. For this purpose,

[12] The claim of the existence of ZIKA viruses goes back to 1947, when viruses were defined differently than after 1952, and is named after a forest in Entebbe, Uganda, where monkeys were tortured during "infection experiments".

tissues are killed in the laboratory by (unintentional) starvation and poisoning. And because a little "in-game" material is added to this process, the participants believe that the tissue turns into viruses.

Of course, they do not ask themselves why, in contrast to the phages, billions of viruses cannot be seen, isolated and their genetic strands isolated intact. So they start to reconstruct the assumed large "genome" of the assumed virus from the short existing gene sequence pieces. With the difference that this act of cultivation leaves large gaps in the reconstruction of the whole genome strand. These are laboriously filled by producing artificial gene sequences to fill the gaps in the model. This is self-deception within the "virological" self-deception.

Die Wurzel: Why do Chinese scientists claim that the China Corona Virus-2019 originates from poisonous snakes?

Corona gene sequences in every living being

Stefan Lanka: They claim this because they find sequences in snakes that also appear in the corona model. But what they are not telling us is that in every living being the same sequences appear from which corona and all the other viral genetic strands were constructed mentally. This explains why papayas also test “positive." This is an important message of Corona: Everything and everything is positive!

Die Wurzel: And what exactly is the situation with virus tests?

Stefan Lanka: If something longer is mentally constructed from short nucleic acid sequences, which are present in every organism, something that in reality does not exist, it is clear that the so-called genetic detection methods for viruses (PCR tests), which only detect the presence of very short sequences, everything and everyone, even a tree, can be tested "positive". This is nothing but dangerous science fiction in action.

Short DNA sequences are present in every organism, even in a tree or papaya. In fact, these short sequences[13] occur everywhere. But a viral genome (i.e. virus) is defined as something longer, consisting of several "genes". In the first construction of a human viral genome, the "wirrologists" (confusion-ologists) oriented themselves to the genome of the phage. A "virus" needs several genes to form its alleged envelope proteins and enzymes. Even if we assumed the existence of a virus, with the PCR method only small pieces can be detected and these do not tell us anything about whether there are only virus fragments (after an "immune attack"), only defective or harmless viruses or whether the amount of viruses is too small to cause a massacre. But since there are no viruses at all, only as a model, the whole thing falls flat anyway.

Human fetal serum for vaccine development?

Die Wurzel: I have read that sera from aborted human foetuses can be present in vaccines.

Stefan Lanka: What was actually done is that, for infection experiments, tissue and sera (blood without solid blood cells) were obtained from human foetuses. What I can imagine is that a financially strong and completely stupid public is asking for such tissues and sera for their vaccines but also for other medical products - and are still receiving them, following the motto, "you get what you pay for.”

[13] Sequences below 22 nucleotides (building blocks of DNA/RNA) are found in every mammal, are not virus-specific and are used in the construction of "viral genomes".

Animal foetal serum in vaccines

Stefan Lanka: However, all mass vaccines are produced using foetal sera from cattle and mainly from kidney tissue from monkeys or tissue from other animals.

Die Wurzel: If such an animal foetal serum has been used in vaccines, can it lead to any reactions?

Stefan Lanka: According to my current knowledge, a substance only leads to an allergy/autoimmune disease if the act of the vaccination triggers a "biological conflict", that is, an event that is perceived as existentially threatening and which cannot be resolved in a timely manner. I recommend my article on vaccination damage and the development of autism in the 3/2016 issue of WiPlus.

Vaccines and viruses grown in the laboratory

Die Wurzel: More and more I realise that "virus" and vaccine are identical, both were grown in the laboratory, right?

Stefan Lanka: Yes, with the very important clarification that "viruses" are fantasies, and that the remains of the dead tissue in the test tube are not "viruses" but decomposed tissue. By the way, the tissues can only be prevented from dying and decaying in the laboratory for a few days and only by using foetal serum. This is not possible with the serum of adult humans and animals.

For clarification:
When organs are removed from an organism, they die quickly and decompose even if cooled. If individual organ tissues are removed from organs in order to study "life" in the laboratory or to "isolate", multiply or "cultivate" viruses in the laboratory, these tissues die off even faster and decompose even faster.

Forcibly divided tissue = cell cultures

Stefan Lanka: In order to allegedly work with cells in the laboratory, the tissues, which are previously removed from organs (of a foetus, for example), are separated by force (biochemically by digestive enzymes and mechanically). Then those involved believe that they are working with cells and refer to the forcibly separated tissues as "cell cultures". They must constantly prevent these "cells" from fusing back into tissue by force. Without the many substances from the foetal tissue, but especially the high concentration of "Pi-water[14]" in it, the tissues in the test tube and the isolated tissues would die off even faster.

Now the foetal serum is withdrawn from the "cells" in the test tube as preparation for the following "infection experiment". As a result, these tissue units try to unite even faster than usual, but die when "merging."

The alleged cultivation of the virus

Stefan Lanka: This confluence is known as giant cell formation and the "cytopathic effect". This result of many forced and insane steps is interpreted as central proof of the "presence, isolation, multiplication" etc. of the suspected virus. The persons involved then claim that they have succeeded in cultivating the virus.
In order to meet the constantly increasing demand for foetal serum[15], 2 million pregnant cows are cut open without anaesthesia every year, the foetus is cut open without anaesthesia within and its foetal blood is

[14] Alias original substance of which we consist - see my contribution to Pi-Water see wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/MW_PI_Wasser_Lanka2.pdf and the contribution of Michael Delias in WZ 04/19

[15] In fact, "meat" is already being bred for consumption in the laboratory, suggesting that this is possible without animals

taken from the beating heart. If the foetus were removed from the Mother for this procedure, much less serum could be "obtained." If the mother and/or the foetuses were anaesthetised, the anaesthetics would rapidly decompose the foetal serum, as the anaesthetics cannot be removed from the serum.

The foetal serum is made from this type of blood. Of course, for the purpose of profit optimisation, adulteration is done just like with wine. To save money, the laboratory technicians buy cheap serum, which they all know is even more contaminated than the expensive serum.

Only with the help of these foetal serums is it possible to produce vaccines. Components of these serums, which can never be sterile, are implanted in us in the form of the vaccines.
I have been surprised for a long time that this well-known fact is not discussed in the raw food or vegan scene. Raw food and Veganism forbid vaccination, the production of fetal sera and cell culture experiments.

Decomposition processes as a basis for biology and medicine

Stefan Lanka: We have the situation not only in vaccination, but in biology and medicine as a whole, that processes of dying and decay in the test tube are misinterpreted as models of life. And this is only one of several points why biologists and medical doctors in the system of the dominant opinion of cellular pathology since 1858 have not been able to make reasonable and helpful statements about life, health, disease and recovery.

Die Wurzel: In 1951/52 virology had completely disproved itself and abandoned. For what reason?

Virology disproved twice

Stefan Lanka: For two reasons:

Firstly, control experiments were carried out which proved that what used to be interpreted as viruses (allegedly dangerous proteins, as is still claimed to be the case with some bacteria despite better knowledge) is also released during the decomposition of completely healthy organisms or their organs. And that nothing could be seen or found under the electron microscope.

Secondly, because it became known that proteins cannot reproduce themselves. Even before 1952, it was a central component of virology that the dangerous viral protein (= virus, disease poison) could allegedly reproduce itself. In 1952 it was recognised, however, that nucleic acid is responsible for the reproduction of proteins. From then on young chemists believed that viruses were dangerous pieces of genetic material. These chemists had no idea about biology, medicine and the fact that virology had been disproved.

This ignorance continues to this day and led to the Corona crisis. Today's virologists don't question the fact that they can't find viruses and viral genetic material. They are also don't question the fact that they always and constantly only mentally assemble the genomes of the viruses (the genetic strands of the viruses) from short pieces and then present them as reality.

Ending the Corona Crisis Democratically

Stefan Lanka: Nowhere in biology and medicine is it as clear as in virology that a purely mental construct is presented as an existing fact. When a majority of the population has understood this... it will end the Corona crisis by democratic means. So please distribute this interview on "Virus Come Out."

Die Wurzel: There is so much more to discuss, including the model of bacteria and bacteria-eaters. In your article "The Virus Misconception", which is available for free in your webpage, you go into everything in detail

as well as many other topics. This interview today has only given a very small part of your above report "The Virus Misconception". Therefore, I recommend this article or the entire WiPlus booklet (see below) with the included article to all readers.

And with the follow-up issues of WiPlus you, dear reader, will stay up to date on what has already happened in virus thinking in general science, etc. The best thing is to subscribe to the quarterly magazine.

So, I thank you dear Stefan for the interview. May we all work together and achieve a lot in a positive collective.